Noise induced transition in Josephson junction with second harmonic

Nivedita Bhadra*[1]

[1]Department of Physical Sciences, IISER Kolkata, Nadia, West Bengal 741246, India

We show a noise induced transition in Josephson junction with first as well as second harmonic. A periodically modulated multiplicative coloured noise can stabilise an unstable configuration in such a system. The stabilisation of the unstable configuration has been captured in the effective potential of the system obtained by integrating out the high frequency components of the noise. Numerical analysis has been done to confirm the prediction from the analytical calculation.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1701.06879v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 24 Jan 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Presence of noise can induce several interesting phenomena in nonlinear system, such as, stochastic resonance[1–3], dynamical stabilisation[4,5], noise induced order[6], noise induced chaos[7], broadly known as noise induced transition[8–10]. We have studied a noise induced transition in a nonlinear system, namely, Josephson junction(JJ) which consists of first as well as second harmonic in its current phase relation(CPR).

In a Josephson junction, a supercurrent flows between two proximately coupled superconductors through a thin insulating layer due to Cooper pair tunneling. The value of the supercurrent flowing through the interlayer is proportional to the sine of the difference of the phases of the superconductor order parameter[11]. In general, the current can be summation of all harmonics[12,13]. In conventional JJs at equilibrium, the phase difference of the superconducting order parameter on the two sides is zero[14,15]. These are also known as 0-junctions. Generally the single harmonic is adequate for the description of the JJ properties.The role of the second and other higher harmonics is negligible and hardly observable. However, in recent years, there has been lot of interesting studies in Josephson junction with unconventional current phase relations(CPR)[16–19]. Second harmonic in the current phase relation has become noticeable in these studies. Several mechanism of generation of second harmonic has also been discussed[16]. For example, in JJs with a ferromagnetic interlayer i.e. S/F/S, where for some intervals of the exchange field h and F-layer thickness d, the ground state corresponds to the phase difference equal to π, also known as "π" junctions. Experimental studies has shown $0-\pi$ transition in S/F/S from the measurements of the temperature dependence of the critical current[20]. However, this second-harmonic contribution decreases strongly with the increase of the thickness of the F layer and its exchange field. The CPR for JJs is sinusoidal only near the critical temperature T_c, $I = f_1 \sin\phi$,f_1 being the amplitude of first harmonic in CPR. At low temperature, the higher harmonic terms become more and more important and the relation becomes $I = f_1 \sin\phi + f_2 \sin 2\phi$, where, f_1 and f_2 are amplitude of first and second harmonic of Josephson current. At the $0-\pi$ transition, the first harmonic becomes zero and the second-harmonic term dominates[21–24]. These studies show the higher harmonics to be extremely sensitive to changes in barrier thickness, temperature etc. For this two harmonic potential, i.e., $f_2 \neq 0$, we have studied the effect of a periodically modulated coloured noise.

Recently, a robust second harmonic CPR has been established in a Josephson junction of two superconductors separated by a ferromagnetic layer[25]. This experiment reports for JJs(NbN/GdN/NbN) with highly spin polarized barriers and reveals CPR which is purely second harmonic in nature and insensitive to barrier thicknes. The probable origin of such robust second harmonic is the generation of two triplet pairs from higher-order tunnelling processes. We have proposed a theoretical model for Josephson junction where a stochastic drive has been applied and it has been shown that the unstable configuration can be stabilized with this kind of external random drive.

Earlier studies has shown appearance of noiselike solution in rf-biased JJ due to the emergence of chaotic dynamics[26]. If in a noise-free rf-biased JJ chaos appears, due to addition of small thermal noise, the dynamics remains unchanged unless the noise level exceeds the chaotic state. Small amount of thermal noise can lead to a hopping between two dynamical states of the junction. The hopping can be between two different periodic solutions or it can be between a periodic and a chaotic solution. A little raise in temperature can destabilize the system from the periodic solution and the system can spend most of the time in the chaotic state leading to noise induced transition. Thermal noise has been realised in terms of additive delta correlated white noise[7,27,28].

Another study has shown the effect of multiplicative periodic drive in a bosonic Josephson junction. Parametrically driven pendulum is an mechanical analog for such system[29]. This kind of periodic drive can lead to dynamic stabilization of unstable $\phi = \pi$ configuration[30]. In this work we have investigated the effect of periodically modulated multiplicative coloured noise in JJ with both first and second harmonic present in CPR. It has been observed that the unstable state for JJ can be stabilized

*email: nb12rs061@iiserkol.ac.in

in presence of such noise. It has been known for a long time that multiplicative noise can enhance the stability of nonlinear systems. Several studies have shown noise induced phase transitions far from equilibrium[10]. In our present work we have observed the effect of noise, power spectrum of which has a peak at high frequency. Due to the presence of this high frequency "separation of variable" method is applicable, an effect known as "Kapitza effect"[29,31,32]. Kapitza effect was first adopted to understand the dynamic stabilization of inverted position of a pendulum periodically driven at the point of suspension. The idea is to separate the "fast" and "slow" variable and integrating out the "fast" variable". An effective potential V_{eff} for the "slow" component can be obtained this way. This elimination of rapid components produces higher harmonic terms in V_{eff} whose coefficient can be adjusted to generate new local minima. We adopted this method of separation of variable to obtain the effective potential for the system. The stable phase for the system has been achieved from this effective potential.

II. MODEL

We consider a Josephson junction model with first as well as second harmonic in the current phase relation(CPR). We would consider the case when bias current is zero. The governing equation for the system is as follows,

$$\ddot{\phi} + 2\beta\dot{\phi} + \ (f_1 \ \sin\phi + f_2 \ \sin 2\phi) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where, f_1 and f_2 are amplitude of first and second harmonic and β is the damping parameter of Josephson current. We would consider $\beta \approx 0$. Hence, We can write Eq.(1) in terms of Josephson potential V as,

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where,

$$V = -f_1 \ \cos\phi - \frac{f_2}{2} \ \cos 2\phi. \tag{3}$$

The condition for stabilization of $\phi = \pi$ position is obtained by putting $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi^2}|_{\phi=\pi} > 0$, i.e., $2f_2 > f_1$. It can be observed from the potential that $f_1 > 2f_2$ configuration for the system is unstable at $\phi = \pi$. This work of ours shows how this unstable can be stabilised in presence of multiplicative periodically modulated coloured noise. Our study is in the other regime, i.e., $f_1 > 2f_2$. The equation governing the stochastically driven system is as follows,

$$\ddot{\phi} + (1 + \xi(t)) \ (f_1 \ \sin\phi + f_2 \ \sin 2\phi) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where, $\xi(t)$ is the noise whose power spectrum has a peak at high frequency compared to the natural frequency of the system when no drive is present. This choice of ξ ensures that the deviations of the variable ϕ caused by the stochastic vibration of the suspension point are small. Hence, a separation of "slow" and "fast" variable is possible. The noise is taken to be Gaussian distributed with zero mean, $\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0$, and temporal correlation goes as,

$$\langle \xi(t)\xi(t+\tau) \rangle = D(\tau) = \sigma^2 e^{-\alpha\tau} \cos(\nu\tau). \tag{5}$$

Henceforth, angular bracket $\langle ... \rangle$ will denote averaging over the noise, where σ^2 is the variance of the stochastic process, and ν is the modulation frequency which is taken to be very high. α is the attenuation parameter.

We would study the problem under the limit $1 < \alpha \ll \nu$.

III. CALCULATION OF EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL V_{eff}

In this section we would calculate the effective potential for this sytem. This effective potential was for the first time implemented in the context of dynamic stabilization of a pivot driven pendulum. Dynamical stabilition of $\phi = \pi$ i.e.,the inverted position of the system was theoretically explained on the basis of this effective potential. The idea is to obtain the effective potential by splitting the motion into "fast" and "slow" variable and averaging over the "fast" component. In such cases, new harmonics appear in the effective potential. Now the stable phases can be obtained by finding out the extrema of the effective potential. We adopt the same method for this system.

The variable governing the dynamics of this Josephson junction is the angular displacement ϕ. We can separate it into "fast" ϕ_f and "slow" part ϕ_s. Writing $\phi = \phi_s + \phi_f$, where, $\phi_s \gg \phi_f$, Eq.(4) becomes,

$$\ddot{\phi_s} + \ddot{\phi_f} = -(1 + \xi(t)) \ (f_1(\sin\phi_s + \phi_f \ \cos\phi_s) + f_2(\sin 2\phi_s + 2\phi_f \ \cos 2\phi_s)), \tag{6}$$

by keeping terms upto $\mathcal{O}(\phi_f)$. Eq.(6) involves both the fluctuating part(fast) and the smooth(slow) part, each of which should have its own separate equation of motion. Retaining terms linear in ϕ_f or ξ, and replacing ϕ_s by

the noise averaged value $\langle\phi\rangle$ for the fluctuation part, the equation of motion for the fluctuating part is given by,

$$\ddot{\phi_f} + (f_1\cos\langle\phi\rangle + 2f_2\cos 2\langle\phi\rangle)\phi_f = -\xi(t)(f_1\sin\langle\phi\rangle + f_2\sin 2\langle\phi\rangle), \tag{7}$$

and that of the "slow" part is,

$$\langle\ddot{\phi}\rangle = -(f_1\sin\langle\phi\rangle + f_2\sin 2\langle\phi\rangle) - \langle\xi(t)\phi_f\rangle(f_1\cos\langle\phi\rangle + 2f_2\cos 2\langle\phi\rangle) \tag{8}$$

The natural initial condition for $\delta\phi$ is to set $\delta\phi = \delta\dot{\phi} = 0$ at the initial time which we may choose as $t = -\infty$. With this initial condition, Eq.(7) admits $\langle\delta\phi(t)\rangle = 0$ for all time, as expected.

Note that the cross-correlation, as we show below, is $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and it contributes to the average motion of the pendulum. We can consider $\cos\langle\phi\rangle \approx -1$ as we are interested in the regime $\phi \approx \pi$. We would solve Eq. (7) by Green function G. The effect of noise can be cast into the form of unit force. Eq. (7) can be written as,

$$\ddot{\phi_f} - (f_1 - 2f_2)\phi_f = \delta(t - t'), \tag{9}$$

where, $\delta(t-t')$ is unit force at $t = t'$. In terms of Green function Eq. (9) becomes,

$$\ddot{G} - \gamma^2 G = \delta(t - t'), \tag{10}$$

where, G satisfies the initial condition for $t < t'$ with G continuous at $t = t'$ and $\gamma^2 = f_1 - 2f_2$. Solving Eq. (10) and substituting the solution in Eq.(8) we find,

$$\langle\ddot{\phi}\rangle = -(f_1\sin\langle\phi\rangle + f_2\sin 2\langle\phi\rangle) - \frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 - 2f_2}}(f_1\sin\langle\phi\rangle + f_2\sin 2\langle\phi\rangle)(f_1\cos\langle\phi\rangle + 2f_2\cos 2\langle\phi\rangle), \tag{11}$$

From now we would opt out $\langle..\rangle$ for the simplification of symbol. In terms of effective potential V_{eff} we can write,

$$\ddot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial\phi} = 0, \tag{12}$$

where,

where,

$$V_{\text{eff}} = -\left(f_1 - \frac{f_1 f_2}{2}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\right)\cos\phi - \left(\frac{f_2}{2} + \frac{f_1^2}{4}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\right)\cos 2\phi - \frac{f_1 f_2}{2}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\cos 3\phi - \frac{f_2^2}{4}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\cos 4\phi, \tag{13}$$

Now, to find the condition for stability of $\phi = \pi$, we simply put the condition $\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\phi^2}|_{\phi=\pi} < 0$. It gives the condition as,

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 - 2f_2}} \tag{14}$$

The stability condition at $\phi = \pi$ shows a divergence at $f_1 = 2f_2$. Now we study the stable and unstable phase after expanding V_{eff} around $\phi = \pi$. Expanding around $\phi = \pi$ we obtain the effective potential in the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi) \;=\; & V_0 + \frac{1}{2}(2f_2 - f_1)\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 - 2f_2}}(f_1 - 2f_2)^2\eta^2 + \frac{1}{4!}(f_1 - 8f_2))\eta^4 - \frac{1}{3!}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\frac{1}{f_! - 2f_2}((2f_2 - f_1)(8f_2 - f_1))\eta^4 \\ & + \frac{1}{6!}(-f_1 + 32f_2)\eta^6 + \frac{1}{6!}\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 - 2f_2}}(16f_1^2 - 364f_1 f_2 + 1024f_2^2)\eta^6, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where, $\eta = \phi - \pi$, $V_0 = f_1 - \frac{f_2}{2} - \frac{f_1^2\Delta}{4} - \frac{f_2^2\Delta}{4}$.We ignore higher order terms since the highest order term consid-

ered here(η^6) is always positive for the range of values we are interested in $f_1 < 8f_2$.

Let us now consider a few special situations.

1. In the absence of noise i.e. $\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} = 0$ and $f_1 = 2f_2$, the series(Eq.(15)) starts with the quartic term, with the coefficient of η^4 as negative $(-\frac{f_2}{4})$. The coefficient of η^6 is positive $(\frac{1}{24}f_2)$, providing stability to the system. Therefore, $\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}/\partial\phi^2|_\pi < 0$. Hence, $\eta = 0$ or $\phi = \pi$ is an unstable configuration for the system.

2. In presence of noise .i.e.,when, $\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} \neq 0$, and the condition $\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_1 - 2f_2}}$ is satisfied, the coefficient of η^2 is positive. In this case, $\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}/\partial\phi^2|_\pi > 0$, hence, $\eta = 0$ is stable configuration for the system.

3. At $f_1 = 2f_2$, both η^2, η^4 terms vanish. Effective potential becomes,

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3}{2}f_2 - \frac{1}{4}f_2\eta^4 + \frac{1}{4!}f_2\eta^6. \tag{16}$$

Hence, it is an unstable configuration at $\phi = \pi$.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The theoretical results obtained are approximate. To observe the shape of oscillation we have performed some numerical analysis.

According to our analytical expression for potential of the system we have found that $\phi = \pi$ is an unstable configuration in the regime $f_1 > 2f_2$. Fig.1 shows effective potential in absence of any drive. The solid line



FIG. 1: This firgure shows Josephson potential(Eq.(3)). For $f_1 > 2f_2$, $\phi = \pi$ is a maximum in the potential whereas, for $f_1 < 2f_2$,the system achieves a minimum in the potential. $\phi = 0$ is always a stable configuration for the system.

shows the shape of the potential for $f_1 = 2f_2$. $f_1 > 2f_2$ shows a maximum whereas, $f_1 < 2f_2$ shows a minimum at $\phi = \pi$. Curvature of the potential changes from positive to negative gradually undergoing a zero value indicating a transition at $f_1 = 2f_2$. In order to find the effect of periodically modulated coloure noise we plot the effective potential obtained from the analytical calculation. The plot shows $\phi = \pi$ is a maximum potential configuration in absence of noise *i.e*, $\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} = 0$,whereas, for nonzero values of noise it gradually becomes a minimum at $\phi = \pi$.



FIG. 2: This figure shows effective potential in presence of periodically modulated coloured noise(Eq.(13)). Δ is defined as $\frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2}$. When $\Delta = 0$, i.e., in absence of noise $\phi = \pi$ is a maximum. Gradual increase of the parameter Δ shows that $\phi = \pi$ gradually becomes a minimum of the potential.

Now to observe the effect of coloured noise we have simulated Eq.4 by Euler algorithm. We have taken the parameter values as, $\alpha = 1.5, \nu = 10, f_1 = 2, f_2 = 0.5$. Timestep was chosen to be 0.001. We have taken $\xi(t)$ as sufficiently wideband noise. Fig.3 shows the power spectral density for the periodically modulated coloured noise. The spectrum shows a sharp peak at the frequency



FIG. 3: The power spectral density of the coloured noise $\xi(t)$. The spetrum is sharply peaked at the frequency $\nu = 10$.

$\nu = 10$. The coloured noise $\xi(t)$ is generated by a forced oscillator with frequency ν which has a damping $\alpha = 1.5$. The applied force is a delta correlated ramdom force.

The solution of this randomly forced damped oscillator has been fed into the JJ equation(Eq.(4)). The variance σ is kept higher than the frequency ν as is required for the stability of $\phi = \pi$. The initial condition for ϕ has been kept $\pi + 0.001$ as we are interested to observe the motion of ϕ around π. $\dot{\phi}$ has been kept as 0.01. The potential shown in Fig.2 is also consistent with the nature of the trajectory. In absence of noise the potential shows only one minimum at $\phi = \pi$, whereas, in presence of noise another minimum appears at $\phi = \pi$ in the effective potential. All these plots shown are average of 40 different realisations.



FIG. 4: The main panel shows time series of the variable ϕ. Here, ϕ represents phase fluctuation. Due to presence of damping the time series initially shows oscillation and gradually damps out and remains fixed at $\phi = \pi$. The inset figure shows autocorrelation of the periodically modulated coloured noise $\xi(t)$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we have shown how periodically modulated coloured noise can stabilize unstable configuration in Josephson junction with first and second harmonic. The stable state has been detected by analysing the effective potential we obtained after separation of "first" and "slow" variable. This approximate analysis shows how new harmonic appears in the effective potential. We also observe the stability of $\phi = \pi$ in the numerical analysis of the stochastic equation governing the system.

In general for a JJ system with first harmonic the phase fluctuation for ground state is $\phi = 0$. In presence of second harmonic it depends on the amplitude of the harmonic. In our current study we have shown how the $\phi = \pi$ can be made a ground state in presence of a stochastic drive.In the context of JJ, the value of phase fluctuation i.,e., ϕ is actually a measure of Josephson current. Since the Josephson current is proportional to the sine argument of the phase fluctuation, ϕ being negative indicates inversion of the direction of the current. The condition to make the $\phi = \pi$ as a ground state depends of the parameter of the applied periodically modulated coloured noise.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Siddhartha Lal and Dr. Anandamohan Ghosh for valuable discussions and IISER Kolkata for financial support.

[1] P. Jung and G. Mayer-Kress. Stochastic resonance in threshold devices. *Il Nuovo Cimento D*, 17(7):827–834, 1995.
[2] Luca Gammaitoni, Peter Hänggi, Peter Jung, and Fabio Marchesoni. Stochastic resonance. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 70:223–287, Jan 1998.
[3] L. Fronzoni and R. Mannella. Stochastic resonance in periodic potentials. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 70(1):501–512, 1993.
[4] Polina S. Landa and Alexey A. Zaikin. Noise-induced phase transitions in a pendulum with a randomly vibrating suspension axis. *Phys. Rev. E*, 54:3535–3544, Oct 1996.
[5] Yuval B. Simons and Baruch Meerson. Emergence of stability in a stochastically driven pendulum: Beyond the kapitsa pendulum. *Phys. Rev. E*, 80:042102, Oct 2009.
[6] K. Matsumoto and I. Tsuda. Noise-induced order. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 33(3):757–757, 1983.
[7] R. L. Kautz. Chaos and thermal noise in the rfbiased josephson junction. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 58(1):424–440, 1985.
[8] C. Van den Broeck, J. M. R. Parrondo, J. Armero, and A. Hernández-Machado. Mean field model for spatially extended systems in the presence of multiplicative noise. *Phys. Rev. E*, 49:2639–2643, Apr 1994.
[9] C. Van den Broeck, J. M. R. Parrondo, and R. Toral. Noise-induced nonequilibrium phase transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:3395–3398, Dec 1994.
[10] Lefever R. Horsthemke W. *Noise-induced transitions*. Springer Series in Synergetics. Springer, 2pr edition, 2006.
[11] B.D. Josephson. Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling. *Physics Letters*, 1(7):251 – 253, 1962.
[12] Yukio Tanaka and Satoshi Kashiwaya. Theory of josephson effects in anisotropic superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B*, 56:892–912, Jul 1997.
[13] A. A. Golubov, M. Yu. Kupriyanov, and E. Il'ichev. The current-phase relation in josephson junctions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 76:411–469, Apr 2004.
[14] P. G. de Gennes Pierre-Gilles de Gennes, Pierre-Gilles De Gennes. *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys (Advanced Book Classics)*. 1999.
[15] K. K. Likharev. Superconducting weak links. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 51:101–159, Jan 1979.
[16] E Goldobin, D Koelle, R Kleiner, and A Buzdin. Josephson junctions with second harmonic in the current-phase relation: Properties of φ junctions. *Physical Review B*, 76(22):224523, 2007.

[17] Luka Trifunovic. Long-range superharmonic josephson current. *Physical review letters*, 107(4):047001, 2011.

[18] Caroline Richard, Manuel Houzet, and Julia S Meyer. Superharmonic long-range triplet current in a diffusive josephson junction. *Physical review letters*, 110(21):217004, 2013.

[19] J. A. Ouassou and J. Linder. Josephson junctions with magnetically tunable $\sin(\delta\varphi/n)$ current-phase relations. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2016.

[20] V. V. Ryazanov, V. A. Oboznov, A. Yu. Rusanov, A. V. Veretennikov, A. A. Golubov, and J. Aarts. Coupling of two superconductors through a ferromagnet: Evidence for a π junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 86:2427–2430, Mar 2001.

[21] A. Buzdin. Peculiar properties of the josephson junction at the transition from 0 to π state. *Phys. Rev. B*, 72:100501, Sep 2005.

[22] Hermann Sellier, Claire Baraduc, Fran çois Lefloch, and Roberto Calemczuk. Half-integer shapiro steps at the 0-π crossover of a ferromagnetic josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:257005, Jun 2004.

[23] J. W. A. Robinson, S. Piano, G. Burnell, C. Bell, and M. G. Blamire. Critical current oscillations in strong ferromagnetic π junctions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 97:177003, Oct 2006.

[24] J. W. A. Robinson, S. Piano, G. Burnell, C. Bell, and M. G. Blamire. Zero to π transition in superconductor-ferromagnet-superconductor junctions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 76:094522, Sep 2007.

[25] Avradeep Pal, Z. H. Barber, J. W. A. Robinson, and M. G. Blamire. Pure second harmonic current-phase relation in spin-filter josephson junctions. *Nat Commun*, 5, Feb 2014. Article.

[26] N. F Pedersen and A. Davidson. Chaos and noise rise in josephson junctions. *Applied Physics Letters*, 39(10):830–832, 1981.

[27] M. Iansiti, Qing Hu, R. M. Westervelt, and M. Tinkham. Noise and chaos in a fractal basin boundary regime of a josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 55:746–749, Aug 1985.

[28] James A Blackburn, HJT Smith, and Niels Grønbech-Jensen. Chaos and thermal noise in a josephson junction coupled to a resonant tank. *Physical Review B*, 53(21):14546, 1996.

[29] Eugene I. Butikov. On the dynamic stabilization of an inverted pendulum. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(7):755–768, 2001.

[30] Erez Boukobza, Michael G. Moore, Doron Cohen, and Amichay Vardi. Nonlinear phase dynamics in a driven bosonic josephson junction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:240402, Jun 2010.

[31] D. ter Haar (Eds.). *Collected Papers of P.L. Kapitza. Volume 2.* pergamon, 1st edition, 1965.

[32] Douglas J. Ness. Small oscillations of a stabilized, inverted pendulum. *American Journal of Physics*, 35(10):964–967, 1967.